UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,)	Case No. 24	- cr -	059	2 175
Plaintiff, v. Joshua P. Harnan Defendant(s). For the reasons stated by the parties on the recordinal Act from 124/12 to 2/11/12 continuance outweigh the best interest of the parties	ord on 1/25	STIPULATED C UNDER THE SP 2 4 2 5, the c and finds that the the defendant in a sp his continuance on the	ourt excludes tine ends of justice seedy trial. See 1	JAN 24 20 RE UNSERVED BY THE S 8 U.S.C. §	125
Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(kely to result in a m	iscarriage of just	ice.	
The case is so unusual or so condefendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to itself within the time limits esta	the prosect ad	cution, or the lequate preparation f	existence of nov for pretrial proce	el questions o edings or the	of fact
Failure to grant a continuance v taking into account the exercise					
Failure to grant a continuance v counsel's other scheduled case See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(commitme				
Failure to grant a continuance venecessary for effective preparate See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ion, taking				
With the consent of the defended disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the the time limits for a preliminary extending the 30-day time period exclusions set forth above). See	ne court set parties' show hearing u bod for an in	es the preliminary he owing of good cause ander Federal Rule o adictment under the	earing to the date — finds good configure of Criminal Proce Speedy Trial Act	set forth in that ause for extended dure 5.1 and	ne first nding for
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 1/24/2025	-	KANDIS A. WE United States M		rel .	
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendar	nt	Assistant United			